A
-

Application No.	Applicant(s)	——— —
10/814,804	HARADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ishwar (l. B.) Patel	2841	

Aladia a G Allacca Lilida	10/011,001	11/10/10/12//	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common GHTS. This application is and MPEP 1308.	n this application. If not includention will be mailed in due	ded e course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>response filed on Aug</u>	<u>rust 26, 2005</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-7</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the delow. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 	been received. been received in Application cuments have been receive of this communication to file	on No d in this national stage applic	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi			NOTICE OF
 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") muss (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposent attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) sheet (s) should be labeled as such in the deposent sheet (s) sheet (s)	on's Patent Drawing Review Amendment / Comment on S4(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF	r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted.	•
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	6. ☐ Interview S Paper No. <i>i</i>	formal Patent Application (PT ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment	[*] O-152)
Paper No./Mail Date <u>4/1/04</u> 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for All	owance
	9.	- ·	

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows: Cancel claims 8 and 9, not elected without traverse.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: A multilayer printed circuit board structure with a limitation "wherein the surface conductive pattern has a surface roughness on an insulation substrate side, the surface roughness of the surface conductive pattern being larger than that of the inner conductive pattern", in conjunction with other claimed limitation has not been disclosed or suggested by prior art of record.

To roughen the surface of the conductive layer or the insulating layer for better adhesion is known in the art, however, the structure where the surface conductive pattern with surface roughness larger (surface roughness towards the insulating layer) than that of the inner conductive pattern has not been disclosed. The following are the closest prior art located by the examiner.

Hirose et al., US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0042637, discloses a circuit board with surface roughness either on the insulating layer or on the copper

Application/Control Number: 10/814,804

Art Unit: 2841

layer, (column 19, paragraph 372), but does not disclose the relationship between the roughness as claimed.

Nakatani et al., US Patent No. 6,734,542 discloses a module and states that roughening the electric insulating layer before carrying out the electroless copper-plating, the adhesive strength of the copper wiring pattern can be enhanced, (column 12, line 54-57).

Asai et al., US Patent No. 6,534,723 discloses a multilayer circuit board and states that conductor circuits are roughened to improve adhesion to adhesive and prevent delamination, but does not disclose the relationship as claimed.

Urasaki et al., US Patent No. 5,403,672, in figure 1, discloses a metal foil with surface roughness for printed circuit board.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Claims 1 directed to an allowable product. Claims 4-7, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, depend from (directly or indirectly) and include all the limitations of the allowable claim 1, is now subject to being rejoined. Claims 4-7 hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Since claims 4-7 previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement made in the Office action mailed on July 13, 2005 is hereby withdrawn.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ishwar (I. B.) Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 1933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272 1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

K CUNED 506, 2841

IBP October 2, 2005